

SEP 3 0 1993

HOWARD HANSON MARIN COUNTY CLERK by P. Fan, Deputy

RECEIVED

SEP 3 0 1993

HUB LAW OFFICES

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN

No. 157 680

ARMSTRONG'S EX PARTE
APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION
OF TIME TO RESPOND TO
COMPLAINT AND FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO
RESPOND TO DISCOVERY;
DECLARATION OF FORD
GREENE; [Proposed] ORDER

Date: September 29, 1993

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Dept: One

Trial Date: None Set

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL AND ITS ATTORNEYS OF

RECORD:

Ford Greene

HUB LAW OFFICES

GERALD ARMSTRONG

Attorney for Defendant

California State Bar No. 107601

711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard

San Anselmo, California 94960-1949

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL,)

GERALD ARMSTRONG; MICHAEL WALTON;

THE GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

DOES 1 through 100,

a California not-for-profit

religious corporation,

a California for-profit

corporation;

inclusive,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VS.

TO:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 29, 1993, at 1:30 p.m., in Department 1 of the above-entitled Court, located at the Hall of Justice, Marin County Civic Center, San Rafael, California, defendant Gerald Armstrong, by and through his attorney of record, Ford Greene, will seek ex parte orders allowing him an extension of five (5) days to respond to the complaint herein and to respond to plaintiff's first request for production of documents.

This ex parte application is based upon the ground that

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360

counsel for defendant Armstrong has been debilitated by a physical illness since September 25, 1993 and is under medical direction not to work until at least October 1, 1993. The legal basis for the instant application includes, but is not limited to California Rule of Court 379, Local Rule 2.10, and C.C.P. section 2031 (h).

This ex parte application is based upon this notice, the attached declaration of Ford Greene, the court's files and records in this case and such other material as is presented in support of the application.

DATED: September 29, 1993

HUB LAW OFFICES

FORD GREENE

By:_

Attorney for Defendant GERALD ARMSTRONG

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

HUB LAW OFFICES

Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360

DECLARATION OF FORD GREENE

FORD GREENE declares:

- I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the Courts of the State of California and am the attorney of record for GERALD ARMSTRONG, defendant herein.
- 2. By previous order of this Court issued August 30, 1993, defendant Armstrong was allowed an extension of time to respond to the complaint and to plaintiff's first request for production of documents through September 29, 1993.
- 3. Starting Saturday night, September 25, 1993, I developed an intense, splitting headache. For the first two days, when I would stand up, bend over or laugh, the pain was so intense I was incapacitated. It is difficult to read. Although the pain has subsided some since I was examined Monday morning, September 27, 1993, when my personal physician gave me an injection , other medication and ordered me to bed, it continues. A true and correct copy of the letter my doctor, Barry Landfield, M.D., has written is attached hereto and incorporated herein. Said letter was written by Dr. Landfield to a particular judge in another Since I have litigated against Scientology in the state and federal courts for the past 4.5 years, it has deemed me an "enemy" and subjected me to its so-called "fair game' policy, a policy of retribution and punishment. 1/

(continued...)

According to the Fair Game Policy, such persons upon whom it is imposed,

[&]quot;[m]ay be deprived of property or injured by any means by any Scientologist without any discipline of the Scientologist. May be tricked, sued or lied to or destroyed."

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2627

28

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360 Therefore, I have redacted the name of the judge and court to which Exhibit A is addressed because I do not want Scientology taking any action to sabotage my client's interests in said action. I will be happy to show an unredacted copy of said letter to the Court in camera.

- 4. Due to my medical condition, I am not prepared to respond to the complaint and request an extension of time of five (5) days until Monday, October 4, 1993, to so respond and request an extension of time of five (5) respond to the request for production as well.
- 5. I have given notice of the present application for ex parte orders to counsel for plaintiff in the following manner:

By telephone on the afternoon of September 28, 1993 to Andrew Wilson, with whom I spoke personally.

6. Today, I received the following response to said notice: Mr. Wilson said that whether or not he opposed our application would depend upon what papers we intended to file. When I told him our intention was to file a motion for coordination of actions

1(...continued)

(Hart v. Cult Awareness Network (1993) 16 Cal.Rptr.2d 705, 707; Church of Scientology of California v. Armstrong (1991) 232 Cal.App.3d 1060, 283 Cal.Rptr. 917; Wollersheim v. Church of Scientology (1989) 212 Cal.App.3d 872, 880, 888-889, 893-894, pet. for cert. granted, vacated and remanded on other grounds, 111 S.Ct. 1298 (1991); aff'd on remand 4 Cal.App.4th 1074 (1992); review granted S011790 (1992) and dismissed (1993); Allard v. Church of Scientology of California (1976) 58 Cal.App.3d 439, 443, fn. 1; See also United States v. Kattar (1st Cir.1988) 840 F.2d 118, 125; Van Schaick v. Church of Scientology (U.S.D.C. Mass.1982) 535 F.Supp. 1125, 1131 n.4; Christoffersen v. Church of Scientology (1982) 57 Ore.App. 203, 644 P.2d 577, 590-92; Church of Scientology v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue (1984) 83 T.C. 381, 411-12, aff'd, 823 F.2d 1310 (9th Cir. 1987). policy].

(Scientology has two other lawsuits pending against Armstrong in Department 30 of the Los Angeles Superior Court) and a stay pending a ruling on the motion, Mr. Wilson told me that he objected to my request for five (5) days additional time.

Under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California I hereby declare that the foregoing is true and correct according to my first-hand knowledge, except those matters stated to be on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Executed on September 29, 1993, at San Anselmo, California

FORD GREENE

ORDER

GOOD CAUSE appearing therefor, it is hereby ORDERED that Defendant Gerald Armstrong shall have through and including October 4, 1993 to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint herein.

GOOD CAUSE appearing therefor, it is FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Gerald Armstrong shall have through and including October 4, 1993, to respond to plaintiff's first request for the production of documents.

SEP 🔰 1993

DATED:

LYNN O. TAYLOR

Judge of the Superior Court

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360



25 Bellam Boulevard Suite 101 San Rafael, CA 94901 415/258-9500 Barry S. Landfield, M.D. Medical Director

Sept 27. 1993

Dear Judge

Ford Greene came to my office today for treatment of an acute medical condition. The nature and severity of his illness required immediate medical intervention. After medicating Mr. Greene at my office I ordered him home to bed rest.

Mr. Greene informed me of the urgency to appear in court before you on Wednesday Sept. 29. 1993. nevertheless he is physically unable to do so. On my orders and direction he will not be able to perform his legal duties to his clients until Friday. Oct. 1. 1993. Please give Mr. Greene all due consideration in this matter. If I can be of any further assistance please do not hesitate to call.

by truly yours,

Barry S. Landfield M.D.

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

[X]

(State)

(Federal)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Marin, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the above entitled action. My business address is 711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard, San Anselmo, California. I served the following documents:

ARMSTRONG'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY; DECLARATION OF FORD GREENE; [Proposed] ORDER

on the following person(s) on the date set forth below, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Mail at San Anselmo, California:

Andrew H. Wilson WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO 235 Montgomery Street, Suite 450 San Francisco, California 94104

(By Mail) I caused such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Mail at San Anselmo, California.

(Personal I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the offices of the addressee. Service)

> I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

DATED: September 29, 1993



27

28

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360

Page 6.